

Verification Relationship between Vehicle Data and Air Pollution Index Using Muti-linear Regression Modeling Cheng-Pin Kuo¹, Kun-Wen Lin²

1. Environmental Simulation Co. Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan 2. Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, U.S.A.

Abstract

Introduction

- \triangleright Previous studies pointed out that vehicle emission is related to adverse health effects.
- Relationship between vehicle data (e.g. vehicle speed) and air quality data was little examined in previous studies.

• Objectives

- > Investigation of relationship between highway vehicle emission and air quality data from AQMS (Air Quality Monitoring Site) near the highway
- Pingzhen Xitun Renwu Sanyi Longtan Tucheng Nantou

SimEnvi

• Method

> Datasets

◆ A total of 3110 vehicle detectors (VD) datasets on Taiwan Nation Freeway (each data was recorded by 5 mins).

• One-year air monitoring data from 10 AQMSs near within 1 km from highway.

> Multi-linear regression models (MLR)

Results and Discussion

 \triangleright Air quality was correlated significantly with vehicle counts (p<0.05). >Vehicle speed with 50-60 km/hr was estimated with lowest compact on air quality.

Methods

- **Studying site:** 10 AQMSs near within 1 km from highway. (Fig 1.)
- **Studying period:** 8760 hourly-data in 2012
- Muti-linear Regression Model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \ldots + \beta_n X_n + \varepsilon$$



ΓU · / I - I $P \Pi^{-} \Pi$

- Data with down-wind of highway was selected • Variables
- > Y : Concentration of Pollutants (NOx, SO₂, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}) $\succ X$:
- (1) Site data: sampling height (m), distance from highway (m)
- (2) Environmental factors: RH(%), Wind Speed (m/s), Temperature (°C)
- (3) Vehicle counts of highway
- Model Performance (R-square)

> NO₂: 28.6%, NO: 17.8%, SO₂: 9.8%, PM₁₀: 25.4%, PM_{2.5}: 25.4%

• Emission Factor Estimation ^[1]

$$EF = \frac{\beta_{VC} \times WS \times A}{RL} \times C$$

- $\succ \beta_{vc}$: Increased concentration contributed by each vehcile ($\mu g/m^3/hr$) $\succ WS$: wind speed (m/s)
- $\triangleright A$: cross-section area of the highway within 1 km from site (m²) > RL: total length of the highway within 1 km from site (km)

C: unit conversion factor

Results and Discussion

• Monthly Trend (Fig 2.)

- > Consistent of vehicle counts and pollutants was observed in most site > Due to seasonal meteorological factor (e.g. mixing height, transported pollutants from China), lower pollutant concentration was monitored in summer.
- Emission Factor Compared with TEDS (MT2 & AP-42) (Fig 3.) > Averaged vehicle speed of 50-60 km/hr was founded with lower impact compared with lower (<50 km/hr) or higher speed (>60 km/hr).
- > Compared with TEDS (Taiwan Emission Data System), difference of emission factor may be due to assumption of gasoline S% content or deterioration of vehicle efficiency.



Fig3. Estimated Emission Factor of Vehicle compared with TEDS

[1] Chiang, H.-L., Hwu, C.-S., Chen, S.-Y., Wu, M.-C., Ma, S.-Y., and Huang, Y. S.: Emission factors and characteristics of criteria pollutants and volatile organic compounds VOCs) in a freeway tunnel study, Sci. Total Environ., 381, 200-211, 2007.